

IPC Call Meeting Notes

January 5, 2010

I. J. Scott Evans called the teleconference meeting to order.

The call will cover:

STI Report Comment Period open until January 26, 2009

EOI Process Comment Period open until January 26, 2009

Thursday's Consultation in Washington DC regarding the new TLD Registry Agreement

II. STI Report Comment Period open until January 26, 2009

A. Should we [the IPC] do comments?

Caroline Chioine to do interview with NameSmash (with Ken Taylor) to explain portions of the STI Report and to encourage individual members to submit public comments.

Kristina Rosette encouraged the IPC to do public comments and encourage especially international organizations to submit them. David Taylor, Nick Wood and Jonathan Cohen volunteered to contact local associations. J. Scott agreed to reach out to Claudio Di Gangi to see if INTA can help get an Asian intellectual property organizations to see if INTA can help connect. Also, J. Scott will ask for an "email blast" to INTA's membership encouraging public comments. Mark Partridge agreed to work with AIPPI and local contacts in Asia to see if he can get contacts in Asia to comment.

Paul McGrady suggested an automated means to send in comments. Fred Fellman from Mark Monitor suggested that ICANN Board tend to discount group comments. Mark Monitor will put together a "resource center" on their website regarding this issue and will send a link to this group.

B. Who will volunteer to draft the comments?

J. Scott, Kristina, Sheldon Kline, David Irving, David Taier (sp?) and David Taylor will work together to develop an outline to help brand owners with drafting public comments. J. Scott will also look into posting the content on the IPC website. Jon McIlwane (sp?) will serve as an editor to ensure harmony with INTA's public comments.

Outline deadline is the 15th. Final draft of comments by the 20th.

III. EOI Process Comment Period open until January 26, 2009

Paul and Fred presented the pros and cons of EOI. There was a discussion about the EOI pros and cons by the members. Comments focused on whether or not the process would be compulsory, whether or not the information should be public or aggregated, and the size of the

fee. Nick will send around the initial document sent to the Board. Concerns were raised that the EOI process not provide substantive rights (e.g. no fast tracking of applications) nor affect who ICANN resolves the remaining overarching issues. Fred and Paul to work on issues outline by January 12, 2009 to distribute to the larger group. A drafting committee will be appointed after the outline is completed. Everyone agreed that the IPC should alert INTA about the issue and that INTA should let its membership know about this process and that public comments are open.

IV. Thursday's Consultation on the Draft Registry Agreement for New gTLDs.

Steve Metalitz provided background details on what will be covered at the Consultation. These include:

- Process for Amending the Agreement
- Payments for Registries to ICANN (operational)
- Price Caps
- RStep Process Costs
- Vertical Integration of Registries and Registrars

Steve indicated that he proposed several issues to ICANN Staff, e.g. redelegation of a <brand> registry should the brand owner decide to cease operations, which did not make it on to the agenda.

V. J. Scott called the meeting to a close and indicated that there would be an additional call later this month once draft public comments on the various issues have been circulated for discussion.